

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/606,702	06/29/2000	Mark R. Johansen	470AM	7467	
75	90 08/03/2004		EXAMINER		
Reising Ethington Barnes Kisselle			ELOSHWAY, N	ELOSHWAY, NIKI MARINA	
Learman & Mc	Culloch PC		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
P O Box 4390			ART ONT	TALER NOMBER	
Troy, MI 4809	99-4390		3727		
			DATE MAILED: 08/03/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/606,702	JOHANSEN, MARK	R.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lee W. Young	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	•	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 5/27/04 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPRING Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to available rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOr yoid abandonment of this applicate a timely filed amendment which	R ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire leads on the control of th	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection.  R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final or the fina	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	<b>\$</b> .
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NO	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>23,25,26 and 28-36</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)∏ approved or b)∏ disappı	roved by the Examir	ier.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· ^ -/	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	•	LEEYOUNG	
	SUPERVISO	PRY PATENT EXAM	INER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The scope of the newly added claims is not commensurate with the finally rejected claims and would require further consideration.

Continuation of 10. Other: . Applicant argues the inherent danger of heat welding while fuel is in the tank. However, the arguments are more specific than the original specification. As a side note, the claims would also preclude filing the tank with fuel, vacating the fuel from the tank, and then performing the heat welding. .